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The fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster, innately avoids even low levels of CO₂. CO₂ is part of the so-called Drosophila stress odor produced by stressed flies, but also a byproduct of fermenting fruit, a main food source, making the strong avoidance behavior somewhat surprising. Therefore, we addressed whether feeding states might influence the fly’s behavior and processing of CO₂. In a recent report, we showed that this innate behavior is differentially processed and modified according to the feeding state of the fly. Interestingly, we found that hungry flies require the function of the mushroom body, a higher brain center required for olfactory learning and memory, but thought to be dispensable for innate olfactory behaviors. In addition, we anatomically and functionally characterized a novel bilateral projection neuron connecting the CO₂ sensory input to the mushroom body. This neuron was essential for processing of CO₂ in the starved fly but not in the fed fly. In this Extra View article, we provide evidence for the potential involvement of the neuromodulator dopamine in state-dependent CO₂ avoidance behavior. Taken together, our work demonstrates that CO₂ avoidance behavior is mediated by alternative neural pathways in a context-dependent manner. Furthermore, it shows that the mushroom body is not only involved in processing of learned olfactory behavior, as previously suggested, but also in context-dependent innate olfaction.

Drosophila CO₂ Sensory System

Flies instinctively avoid CO₂ already at very low levels. This avoidance behavior is odor-specific and easily assayed in laboratory choice assays. Therefore, CO₂ avoidance represents a great model to study the neural circuits and mechanisms between sensory input and motor output. In Drosophila, CO₂ is detected by a dedicated pair of chemoreceptors, Gr21a and Gr63a, present in ab1c sensory neurons of the basiconic sensilla on the antenna.1,2 These neurons project their axons to a single glomerulus known as V-glomerulus in the ventral most region of the antennal lobe (AL), the primary olfactory center. A previous study had identified a single projection neuron (PN) that conveys CO₂ information exclusively from the V-glomerulus in the AL to a higher brain center.3 This higher brain center, called the lateral horn (LH) has been known to process innate behaviors. Based on the stereotyped innate behavior and the presence of a dedicated neural pathway, the CO₂ sensory system of the fly was considered hard-wired or “a labeled line” for information processing that is similar to the processing of sex pheromones or the more recently described microbial volatile odor-ant geosmin.4-6

Innate reactions to sensory stimuli can be modulated by context, such as internal state or the environment.7 Thus, context-dependent change of choice behavior is important for many animals. Behavioral modification according to the
external stimuli and internal state enables the animals to respond appropriately and enhances their chances of survival in their environment. However, the neural basis of this state-dependent behavioral modification is poorly understood. Again, CO₂ choice behavior represents a great model to identify the neural basis of context-dependent choice behavior as outlined below.

CO₂, as a ubiquitous gaseous molecule, released in various concentrations from different short- or long-range sources, is an important sensory cue in the life of many insects. As mentioned above, when fruit flies encounter CO₂ above background level, they show an innate stereotyped avoidance response. This reaction could be explained by the fact that CO₂ is one of the main components of the Drosophila stress odor. Interestingly, CO₂ is also produced in varying amounts during fruit ripening and by yeast that infests rotting fruit. Therefore, an interesting question arises: how do Drosophila melanogaster, which feed and oviposit mainly on rotting fruit, evaluate the presence of rising amounts of CO₂ produced during fermentation? A recent investigation on this question shed some light by showing that some of the volatiles released by fruit, at sufficient concentration, can inhibit CO₂ detection directly at the sensory neuron level. However, how internal state-dependent needs, such as hunger, may modify CO₂ avoidance behavior remained elusive.

Parallel Pathways Involved in CO₂ Avoidance Behavior Modification

In a recent report we used CO₂ choice behavior to unravel the impact of feeding state on olfactory choice behavior and the underpinning neural circuit mechanisms. First, we hypothesized that the feeding-state of the fly may modulate the representation of CO₂ in the brain, in particular in the context of feeding on ripening or rotting fruits.

Based on a previous finding that feeding state can be integrated at the level of the mushroom body (MB) during appetitive learning and memory, our work we explored the role of the MB in feeding state-dependent CO₂ avoidance behavior. The MB has been studied to a great extent for its essential role in various behaviors. Best understood to date is its function as a brain center for olfactory learning and memory. While other behaviors have been linked to this structure as well, for example, sleep and courtship conditioning, the MB was thought to be dispensable for innate olfactory behavior. Given that the MB receives input from a variety of neurons such as neuromodulatory neurons, it is in an ideal position to integrate context also during innate olfaction. With this in mind, we analyzed CO₂ avoidance behavior in fed and starved flies with and without functional MB output. To this aim, we expressed the temperature-sensitive version of dynamin (Shibire<sup>ts</sup>) in MB intrinsic cells, the Kenyon cells (KC) to block its synaptic output. Shibire<sup>ts</sup>-allowed blocking neurons transiently by shifting flies to 32 °C (restrictive) while not causing any effect at 25 °C (permissive). In fed flies, we found that blocking output of all classes of KCs had no effect on CO₂ avoidance behavior. Blocking the same neurons in starved flies, however, abolished CO₂ avoidance completely. The MB contains several populations of KCs, α/β', α/β`, and γ', that are distinguished by their anatomy and also in part by their differential requirement during learning and memory. Dissecting the population of KCs further, using the same method combined with more specific expression drivers for the subpopulations, revealed that α/β` KC cells are more important than the other populations for processing CO₂ avoidance behavior, since blocking other KCs had no effect on CO₂ avoidance behavior in starved or fed flies. Similarly to the internal state of hunger, the presence of vinegar as an external context rendered the behavior MB-dependent. When hungry or fed flies were given the choice between air and a mix of CO₂ and the food odor vinegar, they required the MB to process the CO₂ component of the odor mix. Blocking the MB resulted in an attraction of fed flies to the mixture, similarly to what was observed in the context of starvation. Taken together these behavioral experiments demonstrated that the context of either starvation or the presence of a food odor changed neural processing of CO₂ from MB-independent to -dependent. In support of these behavioral experiments, using in vivo calcium imaging experiments we showed that CO₂ stimulation elicits a calcium response in KCs in a concentration-dependent manner. Thus, the MB is required for processing innate olfactory behavior exclusively in the context of internal state or additional external stimuli.

By using GFP-photoconversion experiments, and an extensive search of a GAL4-database, we identified a bilateral ventral projection neuron (bVPN) that connects the V-glomerulus to the higher brain centers. Interestingly, the bVPN appeared to be the only neuron connecting the V-glomerulus to the MB, while 2 other neurons that we identified only projected to the lateral horn (LH). Clonal analysis and 3D reconstructions showed that the cell body of this neuron was located directly lateral to the subesophageal ganglion (SOG), a brain area innervated by axons of gustatory sensory neurons. Its dendrites innervate the V-glomerulus and the axon projected both to the LH and to the MB calyx, the primary MB region for incoming olfactory information. In the MB calyx, the axon of the bVPN appeared to form synapses with all types of KCs including the α/β` subtype. Using in vivo calcium imaging, we demonstrated that CO₂ led to a calcium rise in the bVPN cell body and in the V-glomerulus. Finally, behavioral experiments showed that the bVPN was essential upon starvation for 24 or 42 h, but dispensable for CO₂ avoidance under fed conditions. These findings clearly demonstrated that this atypical PN is critical for context-dependent CO₂ avoidance by connecting CO₂ sensory input to the MB.

A recent report by Lin et al., which appeared at the same time as our original publication, independently identified several CO₂-responsive PNs, including the bVPN (referred to as PNV-1). Different from our report, Lin et al. implicated this neuron in processing of concentration-dependent CO₂ avoidance behavior. Their results suggested that the bVPN was required only at lower
CO₂ concentrations (0.5%), but not for avoidance of higher concentrations (2%). For avoidance of 2% CO₂ another VPN (PNv-2), which connects the V-glomerulus to the bilateral superior dorsofrontal protocerebrum (SDFP), appeared to be essential. However, the study did not address the role of internal state or context. In light of these 2 reports, it is clearly evident that CO₂ information is conveyed to higher brain centers via multiple PNs with specific functional and anatomical identities. These findings changed the previous notion that the V-glomerulus was connected to the LH only and that the MB was not required during CO₂ avoidance.³,⁹ How exactly these 2 different roles for the same neuron can be explained and connected to each other will be addressed in future experiments.

In summary, our work showed that the MB is functionally involved in modification of CO₂ avoidance behavior in a context-dependent manner. Together with the discovery that distinct types of VPNs process CO₂ sensory information, this lead to the conclusion that the CO₂ circuitry in the fly brain is complex and adaptable to internal stage and external stimuli. One of the factors that determine which one of these parallel pathways is utilized during CO₂ processing is hunger.

**Dopamine Release is Involved in Context-Dependent CO₂ Behavior**

An outstanding question arising from our work is which signals determine the choice of neural pathway. We used behavioral analysis and functional imaging to address this question.

A prolonged lack of nutrition changes the behavior of both the adult as well as larval *Drosophila melanogaster* drastically. Hunger increases activity as well as food searching behavior in the fly.¹⁸,¹⁹ Starvation can also induce the release of different neuropeptides in the nervous system. Notably among them are different neuropeptides and biogenic amines such as dopamine.²⁰,²¹ Previous studies have shown that neuropeptides such as tachykinin and sNPF modulate olfactory information processing at the level of sensory neurons and the AL to increase the sensitivity toward food odors.²⁰,²² However, these peptide systems not only influence olfaction at the early stages of processing but also in higher brain centers. Hunger also plays an important role in olfactory learning and memory.¹² The authors showed that neuropeptide dNPF gates hunger-dependent appetitive memory by acting on dopaminergic neurons. These neurons, in turn, release their inhibition on KCs so that memory processing can go forth. Thus, these dopaminergic neurons represent a form of motivational gate: only a starved fly is sufficiently interested in the expression of food related memories. Hunger gating may hence be necessary, because certain odors may only be associated with food, if the animal has encountered them during actual feeding, which in turn requires the motivation to feed. An equivalent situation occurs during CO₂ related behavior, if CO₂ is presented in the context of a food odor.¹¹ In fed conditions, the food-related vinegar odor does not present an incentive to overcome the aversive CO₂ cue. Once the fly is starved, however, it is motivated by its alternate internal state to...
process food-related cues differentially, and now prioritizes appetitive fragrances over a potential source of danger. As a result, more flies overcome their aversion to CO$_2$ and approach the potential food source.

In light of the aforementioned previous work on olfactory learning and memory, we asked whether manipulation of the dopaminergic system during innate choice behavior resulted in a change of strength of CO$_2$ avoidance. To this aim we employed the TH-GAL4 line to manipulate a large portion of the dopaminergic system in the fly. This GAL4 is expressed under the control of an enhancer element stemming from the endogenous tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) locus, a gene necessary for dopamine biosynthesis. The resulting TH-GAL4 line has been reported to express in around 70% of the total dopaminergic neuron population within the fly brain.\(^\text{23}\) To trigger neuronal activity of these cells in behaving animals, we utilized dTrpA1, a cation channel which promotes neuronal firing at 32 °C, but has no effect at 25 °C.\(^\text{24}\) These properties allowed us to design an experiment, in which we compared flies of the same genotype with or without artificially activated TH-positive neurons in a T-maze assay. Activating dopaminergic neurons via TH-GAL4 driven expression of UAS-dTrpA1 resulted in decreased CO$_2$ avoidance in fed flies (Fig. 1A). This decrease led to a similar PI as observed in starved control flies \(^\text{11}\). Activating these neurons in starved flies, however, did not result in further decrease in avoidance. To corroborate this data, we also blocked dopaminergic neurons in both starved and fed flies via expression of temperature sensitive shibire\(^{\text{25}}\) as described above.\(^\text{25}\) Blocking neurons in fed flies had no effect on CO$_2$ avoidance when comparing the experimental and respective control groups (Fig. 1B). In starved flies, however, we observed an increase in CO$_2$ avoidance to a level that resembled the PI observed in fed control flies \(^\text{11}\). TH-GAL4 flies without UAS-shi\(^{\text{25}}\) or UAS-dTrpA1 in contrast did not show any significant differences in CO$_2$ avoidance between fed and starved flies (Fig. 1C).

Thus, both results are complimentary to each other. They suggest that dopamine release modulates the behavior of fed flies to resemble the one of starved flies, while blocking dopaminergic signaling returns CO$_2$ avoidance of starved flies back to the level of fed flies. It is, however, important to note that we only observed differences in behavior of starved and fed control flies in some genetic backgrounds, such as the combination of TH-GAL4 and the UAS-effector. TH-GAL4 only or UAS-effector only control flies showed no significant reduction in CO$_2$ avoidance behavior in starved compared with fed flies (Fig. 1A–C). Nevertheless, permissive temperature control flies of the same genotype carrying both TH-GAL4

---

**Figure 2.** (A) Averaged time course and peak fluorescent intensity change in α/β lobe of MB186B:GCaMP5.0 flies (fed) to 1% CO$_2$ stimulation before and after treatment with dopamine (DA). Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 9). Two asterisks, P < 0.005 (Mann–Whitney test). (B) Averaged time course and peak fluorescent intensity change in α/β lobe of MB186B:GCaMP5.0 flies (fed) to 1% CO$_2$ stimulation before and after treatment with imaging saline. Error bars indicates s.e.m. (n = 6). n. s., not significant (Paired t test). (C) Averaged time course and peak fluorescent intensity change in α/β lobe of MB186B:GCaMP5.0 flies (42 h starved) to 1% CO$_2$ stimulation before and after treatment with dopamine. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 9). n. s., not significant (Paired t test).
and the UAS-effector represent in our opinion the best controls, because these flies have undergone the exact same treatments and originate from the same parent cross. Starvation sensitivity, for instance, as measured by the rate of fly death strongly depends on prior treatment and genetic background. Analysis of more specific lines that contain only subsets of dopaminergic neurons will reveal which role dopamine plays in context-dependent CO₂ avoidance.

Still, these behavioral results encouraged us to further analyze the potential role of dopamine in starvation-dependent CO₂ avoidance. Since we found that blocking MB output of, in particular, the α'/β' lobes, lead to a reduction of CO₂ avoidance in starved flies, we next asked whether dopamine modulates the response of this MB subpopulation to the CO₂ stimulus. To address this question, we measured CO₂ stimulated Ca²⁺ signals from the MB lobes before and after dopamine application in in vivo calcium imaging experiments. Fed flies expressing GCaMP5.0 in α'/β' KCs (using Split-GAL4 line MB 186B) were stimulated with 1% CO₂ for 500 ms before and after a 5 min incubation with 10 mM dopamine added via bath application to the brain within the head capsule of a living fly. We observed a significant decrease in Ca²⁺ signal upon CO₂ stimulation after application of dopamine but not after application of saline (Fig. 2A and B). Interestingly, when we repeated the same experiment with flies that were starved for 42 h, no change in the Ca²⁺ signal before and after application of dopamine was observed (Fig. 2C).

The selective decrease in CO₂ induced Ca²⁺ signal in α'/β' lobes of fed flies upon application of dopamine is consistent with the hypothesis that dopamine reduces the level of responsiveness of KCs to CO₂ in a metabolic state-dependent manner. However, additional ongoing experiments are required to understand the relationship of dopamine signaling and context-dependent CO₂ avoidance.

Our results thus far suggest that the neuromodulator dopamine could act as a molecular signal for metabolic state-dependent CO₂ avoidance behavior (Fig. 3). Dopamine is widely known for its roles in motivation, reinforcement, and associative memory formation in mammals. For instance, it regulates feeding behavior in mice. But the number and types of dopaminergic neurons in the mammalian brain make the understanding of the underlying neural circuits difficult. Complementing the genetic and behavioral studies in human and mouse, research in the fly showed that this neurotransmitter can act on many different levels of neural processing of sensory information and internal stage or external context. For example, dopamine strongly impacts feeding behavior in the fly at different levels. In a starved fly, a single dopaminergic neuron in the SOG modulates proboscis extension behavior. Temperature-dependent activation of this neuron promotes proboscis extension in the absence of hunger suggesting that dopamine release correlates with starvation.

**Summary and Conclusions**

The CO₂ sensory system, from the periphery up to the AL with the involvement of a heteromeric receptor and a single CO₂-responsive glomerulus is more streamlined than most other olfactory pathways. Because of this the finding that the information flow from the periphery to the higher brain centers is mediated via 2 parallel pathways according to the feeding state of the fly is surprising. This suggests that pathways underpinning innate behaviors that are thought to be hardwired are, in fact, more flexible than expected. Furthermore, we showed that the MB, a brain center required for olfactory memory, is critical for context-dependent CO₂ avoidance. Why does the fruit fly employ 2 alternative pathways to detect a single sensory cue? And what advantage does the fly glean from employing these 2 pathways? A labeled line circuit, such as CO₂ sensory system of fruit fly, has the advantage that it will...
always elicit a stereotyped behavior upon contact with a certain sensory cue; this arrangement is also energy efficient and may allow for a more prompt behavioral response. Arguably most sensory cues need to be interpreted in a context-specific manner. Here, a parallel processing pathway required only according to a specific context, such as starvation, allows for integration of internal state and environmental context, including the scent or presence of a potential food source. Thus, parallel processing presents an advantage to the fly in a situation, where they are pressed for limited resources for survival, and allows them to adjust their behavior according to their particular situation. On the other hand, when food is abundant, flies might more readily, without the need of additional processing, react to a potential danger source with a quick flight response. Processing via additional brain centers could be more expensive to the animal in terms of energy and time required to induce a behavioral output. But because the MB also receives signals from other sensory, including additional olfactory, modalities and neuromodulatory neurons, this arrangement makes the MB a convenient center for processing and fine-tuning state-dependent choice behaviors. Therefore, even with a potential energy or time disadvantage, recruiting the MB into context-dependent CO2 avoidance facilitates the integration of internal state, together with other olfactory stimuli, especially those conveying food related information.

Currently, we do not know whether other insects use similar parallel pathways for innate odor processing in a context-related manner. Given that other insects, such as blood-feeding mosquitoes use CO2 as a sensory cue to locate potential hosts to blood feed, understanding the modulatory aspects of the CO2 sensory system in a context dependent manner might help in the design of effective vector control strategies. Previous research suggested that modulation in the sensory neuron changes olfactory sensitivity of mosquitoes before and after a blood meal.33 Thus, it is possible that similar pathway modifications as we have described for Drosophila melanogaster apply to mosquitoes.

But even beyond insects, mammals constantly face sensory cues that need to be integrated with internal stage and external context to make appropriate choices. At this point, our understanding of how, for instance, foraging is regulated and processed on the level of neural circuits is extremely limited in mammals.7 It is clear that neuromodulators, including dopamine influence decision-making and modify behavioral outcomes. Also, similar to the insect, it has been suggested that distinct brain structures process innate olfaction as supposed to learned olfactory behaviors.24 For instance, the amygdala might represent a structure that could be compared with the insect LH, while the piriform cortex and other cortical areas process and store olfactory associations. Therefore, as demonstrated for other behaviors, studies in the fly like ours might prove useful in understanding context-dependent processing of chemosensory cues also in higher animals.

**Experimental Protocols**

Behavior, calcium imaging, and data analysis were performed according to the method previously described (Bräcker et al., 2013).

**Behavior**

For behavior experiments we used 6–8 d old flies of the following genotypes: TH-GAL4:dTRPA1, TH-GAL4:Shi24, TH-GAL4:wt1118. Flies were either kept on food (fed condition) or starved for 42 h (starved condition). Flies were tested in groups of ~60 in a standard T-maze by giving a choice between CO2 and air. After the test, flies were counted and the performance index (PI) was calculated by subtracting the number of flies on the air side from the number of flies on the CO2 side and normalizing this result with the total number of flies.

**Calcium imaging**

For dopamine bath application experiments, in vivo preparation of flies expressing MB186B:GCaMP5.0 that were either fed or starved for 42 h were used. In these preparations, at first the calcium response to 1% CO2 stimulation was measured. Thereafter, 5 µl of 1 M dopamine hydrochloride (Sigma) dissolved in imaging saline were added to 500 µl of imaging bath to attain a final dopamine concentration of 10 mM. Five min after adding the dopamine to the imaging bath, we again measured the calcium response to 1% CO2 stimulation. For control experiments we repeated the same procedure in which dopamine addition was replaced by 5 µl of imaging saline.
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